## Math 38 - Graph Theory Connectivity and paths

Nadia Lafrenière

We keep looking at the interconnections between edge-connectivity and vertex-connectivity. We also consider what it means for cycles and paths.

Blocks
Is a connected graph with no cut-vertex 2-connected?
Connectivity 0 connectivity 1

Definition
A block of a graph $G$ is a maximal connected subgraph that has no cut-vertex.


## Properties

- Isolated vertices, as well as "isolated edges" (isolated copies of $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ ) are blocks.
- A cycle is always 2-connected, so it is always inside the same block.
- since the only edges that are not in cycles are cut-edges, an edge with its two enpoints is a block if and only if it is a cut-edge.
- Blocks in a tree are edges (along with their two endpoints).
- Blocks in a loopless graph are its isolated vertices, its cut-edges and its 2-connected components.

Proposition
Two blocks in a graph share at most one vertex.

## Proof

By contradiction. If two blocks $A$ and $B$ share vertices $u$ and $v$, they are connected components with no cut-vertices inside. They are also maximal, so if we extend their size, we will be creating a cutvertex.

Since there is a path from $u$ to $v$ in $A$ and one in B (because blocks are connected), there is a cycle containing $u$ and $v$, and $A$ and $B$ form together a 2-connected component. Hence, they
 are in the same block.

## Proposition

If two blocks share a vertex, it is a cut-vertex.

## 2-connected graphs

Two paths from $u$ to $v$ are internally disjoint if they have no common internal vertex.

Theorem (Whitney, 1932)
A graph with at least three vertices is 2-connected if and only if there exist internally disjoint $u, v-p a t h s$ for each pair $\{u, v\}$.

Proof
since there are at least 2 disjoint $u, v$-paths for every pair $\{u, v\}$, $u$ and $v$ cannot be separated by removing one vertex. This is true for all $\{u, v\}$, so the graph does not have connectivity 1. It must have connectivity at least 2, and is hence 2-connected.
$\Rightarrow$ By induction on $d(u, v)$, the distance between $u$ and $v$.
Base case: $u$ and $v$ are adjacent. Since the graph is 2 -connected, it is also 2 -edge-connected, and removing edge $e=\{u, v\}$ lets the graph connected, which means there is a path between $u$ and $v$ avoiding e.
Induction hypothesis: If distance is $k=d(u, v)$, there exists two internally disjoint uv-paths.
Induction step: Let $u$ and $v$ be at distance $k+1$, and let $P$ be a $u v-p a t h$ of (minimal) length $k+1$. Let $w$ be the vertex on $P$ at distance $k$ of $u$, so $w$ is adjacent to $v$, and $P^{\prime}$ be that portion of Po
$P: \underbrace{u}_{p^{1}} \underbrace{w}-0-0 \quad v$

By induction hypothesis, there exist two internally disjoint uw-paths, $P^{\prime}$ and $Q^{\prime}$.
If $Q^{\prime}$ contains vertex $v$, let $Q$ be the portion from $u$ to $v$ in $Q^{\prime}$; then $Q$ is a uv-path that is internally disjoint from $P$.


Otherwise, consider $G-W$. It is connected since there is no cutvertex. So there is a path $R$ between $u$ and $v$ avoiding $w$. If it avoids $P$ or $Q, R$ is internally disjoint from it. Otherwise, let $x$ be the last vertex of $R$ that also belongs to either $P$ or $Q$. If $\times$ belongs to $Q$, then $P$ is disjoint from the part of $Q$ between $u$ and $x$ and from the part of $R$ between $x$ and $v$, which is a path from $u$ to $v$ (disjoint from $P$ ). If $x$ belongs to $P$, the argument is similar.

## Corollary

For a graph with at least three vertices, the following conditions are characterization of 2 -connected graphs:
(A) $G$ is connected and has no cut-vertex.
( $B$ ) For every pair of vertices $\{u, v\}$, there are internally disjoint $u, v-$ paths.
(c) For every pair of vertices $\{u, v\}$, there is a cycle through $u$ and $v_{0}$

Manger's theorem
Given two vertices $u$ and $v, ~ a ~ u v-c u t ~ i s ~ a ~ s e t ~ o f ~ v e r t i c e s ~ s ~$ such that G-S has no uv-path.


Let $k(u, v)$ be the size of a minimum uv-cut.

## Proposition

For $u$ and $v$ vertices of $G, k(u, v) \geq k(G)$.
Proof
A uv-cut makes the graph disconnected, so the connectivity is at most the size of a uv-cut.

Let $\lambda(u, v)$ be the maximum number of internally disjoint uv-paths.
Proposition
For $u$ and $v$ vertices of $G, k(u, v) \geq \lambda(u, v)$.

## Proof

We need to delete at least one vertex per path, and no vertex belongs to two paths.


- Minimal uv-cut, size 4
- Minimal wx-cut, size 3

In fact, one can get a much stronger result:
Theorem (Manger, 1927)
If $u$ and $v$ are not adjacent, the minimum size of a uv-cut is the maximum number of internally disjoint uv-paths.

Proof (optional): read in the textbook, proof of theorem 4.2.17. We will see another proof with the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm next week Monday.

Reference: Douglas B. West. Introduction to graph theory, and edition, 2001. Sections 4.1 and 4.2

