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cuts and connectivity
A vertex cut（or separating set）is a subset of vertices $S$ such that $G-\bar{s}$ has more than one component．
The connectivity of $G, k(G)$ ，is the minimum size of a separating set， if it exists，or $n-1$ ． A graph is $k$－connected if its connectivity is at least $k$ ．

## Examples

Disconnected $=$ connectivity o


Connected＝1－connected
Cycles of length at least 3 have connectivity 2
Petersen graph has connectivity 3 ．
Complete graph $K_{n}$ has connectivity $n-1$ ．


Complete bipartite graph $K_{m, n}$ has connectivity minin，m\}。 By convention，we say the graph with one vertex has connectivity 0 。

Proposition
The connectivity of a connected graph is at most its minimum degree． Proof
One can isolate a single vertex by removing all the vertices around it． Remark
The connectivity of a connected graph is not at least its minimum degree．


Minimum degree 2，but there is a cut－vertex $\Rightarrow$ connectivity 1。

The hypercube $H_{k}$ has connectivity $k$.
of course, since it is $k$-regular, it has connectivity at most $k$. We can prove by induction it has connectivity at least $k$ :


Example: Harary graphs
Harary graphs $H_{k, n}$ are graphs with $n$ vertices and $\left\lceil\frac{n k}{2}\right\rceil$ edges, $2 \leq k<n$, being as regular as possible.
They have connectivity $k$ :

- $k$ is the minimum degree of $H_{n, k}$

There is a proof in the textbook that it has connectivity at least $k$.

Theorem (Harary, 1962)
Let $k>2$. The minimum number of edges in a $k$-connected graph with $n$ vertices is $\left\lceil\frac{n k}{2}\right\rceil$.

## Proof

This is an example of an extremal problem:

- There cannot be fewer edges in a $k$-connected graph. Since $G$ is $k-$ connected, the minimum degree is at most $k$. Then, there must be at least $\left\lceil\frac{n k}{2}\right\rceil$ edges.
- Example of $k$-connected graphs with $n$ vertices and $\left\lceil\frac{n k}{2}\right\rceil$ edges are the Harary graphs.

Edge-connectivity
What if we instead consider the number of edges we need to remove to disconnect a graph?

Definition
A disconnecting set is a subset of edges $F \subseteq E$ such that $G-F$ has at least 2 components. separating $\neq$ disconnecting
The edge-connectivity is the minimum size of a disconnecting set, and is noted $k^{\prime}(G)$. A graph is k-edge-connected if it has edgeconnectivity at least $k$.

Examples

$K(a)=1$

$$
K^{\prime}(a)=2
$$



$$
K(a)=K^{\prime}(a)=4
$$

Complete graphs have edge-connectivity $n-1$. You can prove it:
Let $S \subseteq V$ be a vertex subset of a connected graph $G$. Let $[S, \bar{S}]$ be the set of all edges with one endpoint in $S$ and one in $\bar{S}$. Then $[S, \bar{s}]$ is an edge cut.


Edge cut $\vec{\Rightarrow}$ Disconnecting set

Not an edge cut $\rightarrow$


## Connection to vertex-connectivity

Theorem (Whitney, 1932)
If $G$ is simple, then $\kappa(G) \leq \kappa^{\prime}(G) \leq \delta(G)$. In words: vertex-connectivity is at most edge-connectivity, which is always at most the smallest degree.

## Example of inequalities

$K(G)<K^{\prime}(G)=\delta(G)$

$$
k(G)=k^{\prime}(G)<\delta(G)
$$

$K(G)<K^{\prime}(G)<\delta(G)$


Proof
We first prove $\mathrm{K}^{\prime}(G) \leq \delta(G)$. Let $v$ be a vertex with degree $\delta(G)$. The edge cut for the set $\{v\}$ has $\delta(G)$ edges, so an edge cut with $\delta(G)$ edges exist, and the minimum edge cut has size at most $\delta(G)$.

We also need to prove $k(G) \leq \kappa^{\prime}(G)$. To do so, we start with a minimum edge cut, and construct a vertex cut with at most the same size. If this process is always possible, that proves the desired inequality.

Consider a minimum edge cut $[S, V-S]$. There are two cases:

- If every vertex of $S$ is connected to every vertex of $V-S$, then $\#[S, V-S]=|S||V-S| \geq|V|-1$. Also, by definition, $k(G) \leq|V|-1$.
So $k(G) \leq|V|-1 \leq \#[S, V-S]=K^{\prime}(G)$ (the last equality is because the minimum edge-cut is the minimum disconnecting set.
- otherwise, there is one vertex $x$ in $s$ and $y$ not in $s$ that are not adjacent. We construct a set of vertices $T$ :
- All neighbors of $x$ in $V-S$.
- All vertices of $S \backslash\{x\}$ that are adjacent to vertices in $V-S$.

Then, $T$ is a vertex cut: There is no way to go from $x$ to $y$ without passing through one edge of $T$, so $G-T$ is disconnected. We need to show that $T$ has at most \#[S, $V-S]$ vertices.
For each vertex $t$ of $T$ :

- If $t$ is a neighbor of $x$, then $x t$ is in the edge cut.
- If $t$ is in $S$, then $t$ is adjacent to at least one vertex $u$ in $v-s$. Then ut is in the edge


No edge is counted twice in this list, because $x$ is not in $T$.
since every edge in this list is in the edge cut, then $|T| \leq \#[S, V-S]$, and $k(G) \leq \kappa^{\prime}(G)$.

## Proposition

Let $G$ be a connected graph. Then, an edge cut $F$ is minimal if and only if G-F has exactly two components.

Remark
If we replace minimal by minimum, then the statement becomes false: G-F can have two components while there are edge cuts with size smaller than $|F|$.


With your study group, try to agree on an explanation of why this is true.

## Edge connectivity for regular graphs

Theorem
If $G$ is a 3 -regular graph, then $K(G)=K^{\prime}(G)$ 。
Proof
We already know that $k(G) \leq \kappa^{\prime}(G)$, in general. To prove the statement, we only need to show the reverse inequality ( $\geq$ ), that is, from a minimum vertex cut, create an edge cut of the same size. Let $S$ be a minimum vertex cut, and let $H$ and $J$ be two components of $G-S$. Since $S$ is minimum, every vertex of it has a neighbor in $H$ and a neighbor in $J$. Also a vertex cannot have at least two neighbors in both $H$ and $J$ since $G$ is 3 -regular. For each vertex $v$ in $S$, delete the edge from $v$ to the component in which it has only one neighbor (if there is one neighbor in $H$, one in $J$ and another one (in $S$ for example), delete the edge to H ).


That process breaks all the paths between $H$ and $J$, so the deleted edges form an edge cut. Also, the size of that edge cut is ISI, which proves the statement.

