# CS30 (Discrete Math in CS), Summer 2021 : Lecture 30 

Topic: Numbers: RSA

Disclaimer: These notes have not gone through scrutiny and in all probability contain errors. Please discuss in Piazza/email errors to deeparnab@dartmouth.edu

- Recap. Let us recap some facts we will be needing for today's class.

1. (Modular Exponentiation) For any three positive numbers a, b, n, we can efficiently compute $a^{b} \bmod n$ using MODEXP.
2. (Bezout) For any two positive numbers $a, b$, we can efficiently compute integers $x, y$ such that $x a+y b=\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$ using ExtGCD.
3. In particular, if $\operatorname{gcd}(a, n)=1$, we can efficiently compute integers $x, y$ such that $x a+y b=1$.
4. (Multiplicative Inverse) Therefore, if $\operatorname{gcd}(a, n)=1$, we can efficiently compute $a^{-1}$ modulo $n$; the number $b$ such that $a b \equiv_{n} 1$. We do this by taking $x \bmod n$ for the $x$ in the above bullet point.
5. (Fermat's Little Theorem.) If p is a prime and $\operatorname{gcd}(a, p)=1$, then $a^{p-1} \equiv_{p} 1$.
6. (Problem Set 8, Problem 2(a).) If p|a and $q \mid a$ where $p$ and $q$ are distinct primes, then $p q \mid a$.

- Cryptography. Alice wants to send a message $m$ to Bob. Unfortunately, the channel in which Alice is speaking to Bob is completely transparent and can be plainly read. So, she wants to instead send a cipher $c$ such that (a) upon receiving $c$, Bob can figure out $m$, but (b) any one else, say Eve, upon receiving $c$ can't obtain any information about $m$.
As can be seen, some asymmetry is required between Bob and Eve. The "traditional" way of achieving this is that Alice and Bob pre-decide on some information called a key and use it to figure out $c$ from $m$. This key is something that only Alice and Bob know; in particular, the eavesdropper Eve doesn't.
For instance, the key could be some long integer $k$ of the same length as $m$, and Alice can encrypt $m$ to get cipher $c$ by letting $c_{i}=\left(m_{i}+k_{i}\right) \bmod 10$ for every digit $i$. Note that Bob can easily decrypt since he has the key $k$ : he does the opposite action of $\left(c_{i}-k_{i}\right) \bmod 10$. Also note that Eve can have no idea what $m$ was by just looking at $c$ since $k$ can be an arbitrary key.
One issue with the above protocol is that Alice and Bob need to agree upon the key beforehand. It can be shown that if the same key is used repeatedly, then Eve can actually figure out the key (especially if she can impersonate as Alice). So, keys need to be constantly generated and shared; but then if Alice and Bob can share keys secretly often, why not just use that time to swap the messages?
- Public Key Cryptography (PKC). This is a fantastic idea which gets over the key sharing business. In this every person who wishes to receive a message (say Bob, or any website who needs credit card info) generates two keys. One key is the public key pk which they announce to the world. The other is the secret key $s k$ which they guard with their lives. To summarize, the key they generate is a tuple ( $p k, s k$ ); $p k$ they tell everyone, and $s k$ they tell no one (including Alice).
A PKC protocol consists of two functions/algorithms Enc and Dec. Both of these are also public; the code is also published by Bob.

Now, if Alice wants to send a message to Bob, she can encrypt a message $m$ using the public key to get

$$
\operatorname{Enc}(m, p k) \mapsto c
$$

She then sends $c$ across to Bob. Note that Eve knows $c$ and knows $p k$ and also knows the algorithm Enc. She still shouldn't have any clue what $m$ is. In other words, it shouldn't be easy for Eve to invert this function Enc.

Bob, upon receiving the cipher $c$, then uses the decryption algorithm Dec to get the message back. This decryption algorithm will use both keys.

$$
\operatorname{Dec}(c, p k, s k) \mapsto m
$$

- The RSA Algorithm. These lecture notes are "direct" and do not mimic the lecture I gave where I tried to run through the idea of "how one could come up with RSA?". Whichever works.


## 1. Key Generation.

- Bob picks two primes $p$ and $q$; these will be large, distinct primes.
- Let $N:=p q$ and let $\phi:=(p-1)(q-1)$.
- Bob picks another number $e$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}(e, \phi)=1$.
- Bob computes the multiplicative inverse of $e$ modulo $\phi$. Call it $d$.
- Bob's public key is $(e, N)$.
- Bob's secret key is $d$.

```
procedure \(\operatorname{RSAGENKEyS}(p, q, e) \triangleright\) Assumes \(p, q\) are primes, and \(\operatorname{gcd}(e,(p-1)(q-1))=\)
1.
    \(\triangleright\) Returns tuple (pk, sk) of public key, secret key.
    \(N \leftarrow p q\).
    \(\phi \leftarrow(p-1)(q-1)\).
    \(d \leftarrow \operatorname{MULTINVERSE}(e, \phi)\)
    \(\mathrm{pk} \leftarrow(e, N)\).
    sk \(\leftarrow d\).
    return ( \(\mathrm{pk}, \mathrm{sk}\) ).
```

2. The Encryption algorithm is as follows.

- Suppose Alice wants to send $m$ to Bob. We assume $m \in\{1,2, \ldots, N-1\}$; otherwise, Alice needs to break her message into pieces.
- Alice's cipher $c=m^{e} \bmod N$; she evaluates this using Bob's public key $(e, N)$ and uses modular exponentiation.

```
procedure RSAENC( }m,\textrm{pk})\triangleright\mathrm{ Assumes pk = (e,N)
    Returns cipher c.
    c\leftarrowMODExp (m,e,N).
    return c.
```

3. The Decryption algorithm is as follows.

- Upon receiving $c$, Bob recovers the message $m$ using his secret key $d$ by computing $c^{d} \bmod$ $N$.

```
procedure RSADEC(c, pk, sk) \triangleright Assumes pk = (e,N) and sk = d
    Returns message m.
    m}\leftarrow\operatorname{ModExp}(c,d,N)
    return m.
```

- RSA example. Suppose Bob selects two primes say $p=5$ and $q=11$. Then $N=55$ and $\phi=40$. Bob selects a number $e=13$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}(e, \phi)=1$. He then calculates $d=e^{-1}$ w.r.t $\phi$ using the ЕхтGCD algorithm; in this case $37=13^{-1}$ with respect to 40 . Bob's public key is $(13,55)$ while is secret key is 37 .
Now suppose Alice wants to encrypt a message in $\{1,2, \ldots, 54\}$; say 29 . The encryption is

$$
\operatorname{Enc}(21,13,55)=29^{13}(\bmod 55)=24
$$

To decrypt this, Bob does the following

$$
\operatorname{Dec}(24,37)=24^{37}(\bmod 55)=29
$$

- Correctness of RSA. We prove that as long as $m \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, N-1\}$, then if Alice sends the cipher according to the RSA encryption algorithm, then Bob will get back the same $m$ when he decrypts. In particular, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let $(e, N), d$ be the (public,secret) key pairs generated by Bob. Then for any $m \in$ $\{0,1, \ldots, N-1\}$, Alice sends $c=m^{e} \bmod N$. Then, $c^{d} \bmod N=m$.

Proof. We need to show $c^{d} \bmod N=m$, that is, we need to show $m^{e d} \equiv_{N} m$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { We need to show }\left(m^{e d}-m\right) \equiv_{N} 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, $d$ is the inverse of $e$ modulo $\phi=(p-1)(q-1)$. Thus,

$$
e d \equiv_{\phi} 1 \Rightarrow e d=\phi \cdot x+1 \text { for some integer } x
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(m^{e d}-m\right) \equiv_{N} \quad\left(m^{\phi \cdot x+1}-m\right) \equiv_{N} m \cdot\left(m^{\phi \cdot x}-1\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we show the RHS of ( 2 ) is $\equiv_{p} 0$ and $\equiv_{q} 0$. By the Pset 8 , Problem 1 (b), namely if $p$ divides $a$ and $q$ divides $a$, then $p q$ divides $a$, we would get (1).
Let us prove $m \cdot\left(m^{\phi \cdot x}-1\right) \equiv_{p} 0$ with the $\equiv_{q} 0$ being analogous. Two cases. One, $\operatorname{gcd}(m, p) \neq 1$, that is $p$ divides $m$. In that case $m \equiv_{p} 0$ implies $m \cdot\left(m^{\phi \cdot x}-1\right) \equiv_{p} 0$.
Otherwise, $\operatorname{gcd}(m, p)=1$. Fermat's Little Theorem then implies, $m^{p-1} \equiv_{p} 1$. Taking both sides to the power $(q-1) x$, we get $m^{(p-1)(q-1) x} \equiv_{p} 1$, that is, $m^{\phi \cdot x}-1 \equiv_{p} 0$. Thus in this case also we get $m \cdot\left(m^{\phi \cdot x}-1\right) \equiv_{p} 0$.

Remark: One corollary of the above theorem, and something to actually appreciate, is that every distinct message in $\mathbb{Z}_{N}$ actually must have a distinct cipher.

- A very short discussion on security of RSA. Why RSA is secure is beyond the scope of this course. But take CS62 someday or some other security course.
There are two "beliefs" which are involved in the security of RSA. It is good to state them out.
- It is believed that knowing $N$ and $e$ and $m^{e} \bmod N$, one cannot "quickly" figure out $m$.
- It is believed that knowing $N$, one cannot "quickly" find the factors of $N$.

The former is probably clear - if the cipher could tell us the message, then we are in trouble. For the latter, note that if $N$ can be factored into $p$ and $q$, then any Eve could figure out $\phi=(p-1)(q-1)$ and then could figure out the sk by running MULTINVERSE herself.
Is factoring really hard? This may seen weird. Especially, since our grade school teachers taught that to be the way to compute gcd(!!) ALso, sure we can factor 21 into $3 \times 7$. However, if $N$ has hundreds of digits, things are not that clear anymore.
A final word. Although no one knows of an algorithm for factoring, in 1994 Peter Shor showed an algorithm for factoring using quantum computers. And this started the whole field of post quantum cryptography where people try to build a public key cryptosystem which can't be broken even with quantum computers. Since I have not said what quantum computers are, let me say no more.

