CS30 (Discrete Math in CS), Summer 2021 : Lecture 26

Topic: Numbers: Modular Arithmetic

Disclaimer: These notes have not gone through scrutiny and in all probability contain errors.

Please discuss in Piazza/email errors to deeparnab@dartmouth.edu

- **Definition.** Given any integer n > 0 and another integer a (not necessarily positive), the **division** theorem¹ states that there are *unique* integers q, r such that a = qn + r with $0 \le r < n$. The number r is denoted as $a \mod n$.
- Examples. For example, $17 \mod 3$ is 2. This is because $17 = 3 \times 5 + 2$. Similarly, $13 \mod 5 = 3$. Slightly more interestingly, $(-1) \mod 3 = 2$. This is because $-1 = 3 \times (-1) + 2$. Similarly, $(-7) \mod 5 = 3$ since $-7 = 5 \times (-2) + 3$.
- The Ring of Integers modulo n.

Fix a positive natural number n. The way to think about the $\mod n$ operation is as a function which takes *integers* to the set $\{0, 1, 2, ..., n-1\}$ of possible remainders. There is a name for this set of n remainders; it is called the *ring* of integers modulo n and is denoted by \mathbb{Z}_n .

$$\mod n: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}_n \qquad a \mapsto a \mod n$$

Why ring? Well just consider how the numbers map. 0 maps to 0, 1 maps to 1, and so on til (n-1) maps to (n-1). But then n maps to 0, it "rings" around to 0, and the process starts again. (n+1) maps to 1 and so on. It also rings the same way for negative numbers. 1 maps to 1, 0 maps to 0, -1 maps to n-1, -2 maps to n-2, and so on.

• An Important Notation.

The function $\mod n$ is clearly not injective. Indeed, any two numbers which map to the same element are called *equivalent* modulo n.

Given two integers a, b, we use the notation

$$a \equiv_n b$$

to denote the condition that $a \mod n = b \mod n$.

- Important Properties. The following simple but important properties are crucial to be comfortable with this new "kind" of math. I would recommend trying to actually prove the facts by yourself and then peeking at the solution.
 - 1. (Equivalence under addition of multiple of n.) For any natural number n and integers a and b, $a \equiv_n (a + bn)$.

Suppose
$$a \mod n = r$$
, that is, $a = qn + r$. Then, $a + bn = qn + r + bn = (q + b)n + r$. Thus, $(a + bn) \mod n = r$ as well.

¹The division theorem may sound "obvious" to you, for this is probably something you have seen from grade school, but it requires a proof. Why should there be a quotient-remainder pair? And why unique? A UGP from the past explored this.

2. (Transitivity) If $a \equiv_n b$ and $c \equiv_n b$, then $a \equiv_n c$. $a \equiv_n b \text{ implies there is some remainder } 0 \leq r < n \text{ and quotients } q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } a = q_1 n + r$

and $b = q_2n + r$. $c \equiv_n b$ implies there is some q_3 such that $c = q_3n + r$. Thus, $a \mod n = r = c \mod n$ implying $a \equiv_n c$.

3. (Addition OK) Show that if $a \equiv_n b$ and $c \equiv_n d$, then $(a+c) \equiv_n (b+d)$.

 $a \equiv_n b$ means there is some remainder $0 \le r < n$ and quotients $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a = q_1n + r$ and $b = q_2n + r$.

Similarly, there is some remainder $0 \le s < n$ and quotients $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $c = p_1 n + s$ and $d = p_2 n + s$.

Thus, $(a+c) = (q_1 + p_1)n + (r+s)$ implying $(a+c) \equiv_n (r+s)$ by equivalence under adding a multiple of n. Similarly, $(b+d) = (q_2 + p_2)n + (r+s)$ implying $(b+d) \equiv_n (r+s)$. Transitivity implies $(a+c) \equiv_n (b+d)$.

4. (Multiplication OK) Show that if $a \equiv_n b$ and $c \equiv_n d$, then $(a \cdot c) \equiv_n (b \cdot d)$.

 $a \equiv_n b$ means there is some remainder $0 \le r < n$ and quotients $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a = q_1n + r$ and $b = q_2n + r$.

Similarly, there is some remainder $0 \le s < n$ and quotients $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $c = p_1 n + s$ and $d = p_2 n + s$.

Thus,

$$(a \cdot c) = (q_1n + r) \cdot (p_1n + s) = (q_1p_1n^2 + q_1ns + p_1nr + rs) = (q_1p_1n + q_1s + p_1r)n + rs$$

and,

$$(b \cdot d) = (q_2n + r) \cdot (p_2n + s) = (q_2p_2n^2 + q_2ns + p_2nr + rs) = (q_2p_2n + q_2s + p_2r)n + rs$$

Therefore, $(a \cdot c) \equiv_n (r \cdot s)$ by equivalence under adding a multiple of n, and so is $(b \cdot d) \equiv_n (r \cdot s)$. Transitivity implies $(a \cdot c) \equiv_n (b \cdot d)$.

5. (Powering with a positive integer OK) Let k be a positive natural number. If $a \equiv_n b$, then $a^k \equiv_n b^k$.

Apply the above k times. More precisely, $a \equiv_n b$ and $a \equiv_n b$ implies $(a \cdot a) \equiv_n (b \cdot b)$, that is $a^2 \equiv_n b^2$. One proceeds inductively. If we already have shown $a^{k-1} \equiv_n b^{k-1}$, then along with the fact $a \equiv_n b$, we get $(a^{k-1} \cdot a) \equiv_n (b^{k-1} \cdot b)$, that is, $a^k \equiv_n b^k$.

6. (Division usually **not** OK) Show an example of numbers a, b, c, n where $(a \cdot b) \equiv_n (c \cdot b)$ but $a \not\equiv_n c$.

Let me show **how** I would come up with such an example before telling you the example. If $(ab) \equiv_n (cb)$, we know that $(ab-cb) \equiv_n 0$, that is $(a-c) \cdot b \equiv_n 0$, or n divides (a-c)b. And we want an example where $a \not\equiv_n c$ that is n doesn't divide (a-c).

Well, if n divides (a-c)b but not (a-c), one simple example would be when n=b and say a-c=1. This leads us to the example n=5, b=5, a=2, c=1. One can check — $(2\cdot5)\equiv_5 (1\cdot5)$ but $2\not\equiv_5 1$.

One may then think – hey, if b < n would this be true. Even in this case, the answer is NO. To see this, again, we want n to divide (a - c)b but n should not divide (a - c). So b could be a factor of n, and n/b is what divides (a - c) (but not n).

For instance, $n = 6 = 2 \cdot 3$, b = 3, a = 7 and c = 5 suffices. Let's check, Is $21 \equiv_6 15$? Yes, both give remainder 3 when divided by 6. Is $7 \equiv_6 5$? No, $7 \mod 6 = 1$ which $5 \mod 6 = 5$.

Later on, we will see one case when division will be OK. You can perhaps guess (yes, when b and n are relatively prime).

7. (Taking "roots" **not** OK) Show an example of numbers a, b, n and k, such that $a^k \equiv_n b^k$, but $a \not\equiv_n b$. In fact, show different examples for k = 2 and k = 3.

Once again, the method is more important than the specific example.

Let's start with k = 2. $a^2 \equiv_n b^2$ means $a^2 - b^2 \equiv_n 0$. That is, $(a - b)(a + b) \equiv_n 0$. So, if n divides the product of (a - b) and (a + b). We also want $a \not\equiv_n b$, that is, we want $(a - b) \not\equiv_n 0$. We want n not to divide (a - b).

Well, if n divides (a - b)(a + b) but not (a - b), one simple example would be when n = a + b and say a - b = 1. This leads us to the example n = 5, a = 3, b = 2.

Let's check: $3^2 \equiv_5 2^2$ — yes, 9 divided by 5 is 4 which is 2^2 . Is $3 \equiv_5 2$? Of course not. There's our counterexample. Do you want to do the k = 3 case on your own? Here's a hint: $a^3 - b^3 = (a - b)(a^2 + ab + b^2)$.

• Modular Exponentiation Algorithm

Suppose we want to figure out what is the remainder when we divide 3^{10} by 7, that is, what is $3^{10} \pmod{7}$? The hard and often infeasible way would be to compute 3^{10} and then divide by 7 to get the remainder. The above operations allow a much faster way to compute this. Let's first do an example and then give the whole algorithm.

$$3^{10} \mod 7 = (3^2)^5 \mod 7$$

$$= 9^5 \mod 7$$

$$= (9 \mod 7)^5 \mod 7$$
 Operation (c) above
$$= 2^5 \mod 7$$
 Progress! From 3^{10} we have moved to 2^5 .
$$= (2 \cdot 2^4) \mod 7$$
 Can't halve 5 as it is odd.
$$= ((2 \mod 7) \cdot (2^4 \mod 7)) \mod 7$$
 We have again halved the exponent by moving to $2^2 = 4$.
$$= (2 \cdot (4^2 \mod 7)) \mod 7$$

$$= 4$$

We get 4 when we divide 3^{10} by 7. The general idea was to keep on reducing the exponent by half by moving to the square, and then replacing the square to a possibly smaller number by taking the mod "inside". The full recursive algorithm is shown below.

```
1: procedure MODEXP(a, b, n) 
ightharpoonup Assumes <math>b, n are positive integers.

2: 
ightharpoonup Returns a^b \mod n.

3: a \leftarrow a \mod n 
ightharpoonup We first move a to a \mod n. Always get inside the ring.

4: if b = 1 then:

5: return a \mod n. 
ightharpoonup Nothing to do – base case.

6: if <math>b is even then:

7: return MODEXP(a^2, \frac{b}{2}, n)

8: else

9: s = \text{MODEXP}(a, (b-1), n) 
ightharpoonup b-1 is even.

10: 
ightharpoonup s = a^{b-1} \mod n.

11: return (a \cdot s) \mod n.
```

Remark: The first line ensures $a \in \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$. Note that we compute the mod of $(a \cdot s) \mod n$. The number $a \cdot s$ is at most n^2 . Thus, to compute $a^b \mod n$ one only needs to be "divide" numbers as big as n^2 by n. Thus n is a one or small two-digit number, this all can be done by hand.

Exercise: Implement the algorithm up in your favorite language.